October 24, 2002

Ms. Marva Gay Senior Assistant County Attorney Harris County 1019 Congress, 15th Floor Houston, Texas 77002-1700

OR2002-6037

Dear Ms. Gay:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 171097.

The Harris County Pollution Control Department (the "county") received a request for certain documents submitted to the county by ISK Magnetics, Inc. ("ISK") and GB Biosciences Corporation ("GBB") as part of one or more air quality permit applications. You inform us that the county provided certain information to the requestor in early May, 2002 in response to his original request for information, and that on May 10, 2002, the county provided the requestor with a list of documents responsive to the original request that were withheld from the requestor due to their being marked "confidential" by the submitting parties. By letter dated August 12, 2002, the requestor notified the county that he desired to "challenge the confidentiality of [those] documents and gain access to them." In response, the county submitted the instant request for a ruling to this office.

At the outset, we must address the county's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Subsections 552.301(a) and (b)of the Government Code provide:

(a) A governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold from public disclosure and that it considers to be within one of the [act's] exceptions... must ask for a decision from the attorney general about whether the information

is within that exception if there has not been a previous determination about whether the information falls within one of the exceptions.

(b) The governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within a reasonable time but not later than the 10th business day after the date of receiving the written request.

As the county did not seek a ruling from this office with respect to the information marked "confidential" within the ten business-day period mandated by section 552.301(a) of the Government Code, the requested information is presumed to be public information. Gov't Code § 552.302. In order to overcome the presumption that the requested information is public information, a governmental body must provide compelling reasons why the information should not be disclosed. *Id.*; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ); *see* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Compelling reasons exist when the information is made confidential by law or affects the interest of a third party. Open Records Decision No. 630 at 3 (1994). In this case, the proprietary interests of third parties are implicated by the request. Therefore, we will address the arguments before us for withholding the submitted information.

You state that the requested information appears to fall within the exception to disclosure set forth at section 552.110 of the Government Code, although you make no arguments in support of this exception. However, pursuant to section 552.305, you notified representatives of ISK and GBB of the request for their information and invited these entities to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released.\(^1\) ISK did not make arguments to this office. Therefore, we have no basis on which to conclude that the information you have submitted to this office pertaining to ISK is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110. As we are aware of no other law that would make this information confidential, the county must release the submitted information pertaining to ISK to the requestor.

A representative of GBB submitted arguments to this office and contends that a portion of GBB's information is excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to section 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered GBB's arguments and have reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.110 protects: (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the

¹See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

information was obtained. See Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 898 (1958); see also Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939).² This office has held that if a governmental body takes no position with regard to the application of the trade secret branch of section 552.110 to requested information, we must accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that branch if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5-6 (1990). The commercial or financial branch of section 552.110 requires the business enterprise whose information is at issue to make a specific factual or evidentiary showing,

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

²The six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret are:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company]; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business; (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would result from disclosure. See Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999).

After reviewing the information at issue and the arguments set forth by GBB, we conclude that GBB has demonstrated how release of the information it seeks to withhold would cause substantial competitive harm to GBB. Therefore, this information, which we have marked with blue tags in the submitted materials, must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.110(b).³ The remainder of the submitted information must be released to the requestor, with the following exceptions.

The submitted information contains bank account numbers. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The county must, therefore, withhold the marked bank account numbers under section 552.136.

Finally, we note that the submitted information contains an e-mail address that is excepted from public disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 provides that "[a]n e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Public Information Act]." Therefore, unless the relevant individual has affirmatively consented to the release of his e-mail address, the county must withhold the e-mail address in the submitted information that we have marked under section 552.137.

To summarize, the information we have marked with blue tags must be withheld under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The bank account numbers we have marked must be withheld under section 552.136. The e-mail address we have marked must be withheld under section 552.137. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

³We note that GBB seeks to withhold information labeled "Attachment A" in document number 35106. This information was not contained in the information submitted to this office for review. Therefore, this ruling does not address this information, and is limited to the information submitted as responsive by the county. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body requesting a decision from Attorney General must submit a copy of the specific information requested, or representative sample if voluminous amount of information was requested).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code§ 552.325.

Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Pearle

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Michael A. Peale

MAP/jh

Ref: ID# 171097

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Michael W. Dobbs
Connelly Baker Wotring jackson
300 Louisiana
Suite 1850
Houston, Texas 77002-2778
(w/o enclosures)

ISK Magnetics, Inc. 2237 Haden Road Houston, Texas 77015 (w/o enclosures)

GB Biosciences Corporation P.O. Box 9637 Houston, Texas 77213 (w/o enclosures)